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Stanford DAS Array-1

OptaSense ODH-3 interrogator unit
626 channels spanning two loops through figure-eight
8.16 m channel spacing, 7.14 m gauge length
Records 50 samples/second

Settings are flexible (1.02 m / 2500 Hz for active recording)
Outline

This is a novel, multi-purpose seismic installation in a noisy environment.

The DAS array shows some of the same refraction and surface wave events as 3C nodes in records of betsy gun shots.

From small local events to large teleseismic events, we have recorded a wide range of earthquakes and quarry blasts.

To cut down on seismic survey costs, we can extract coherent signals and dispersion curves from ambient noise, but must be cautious about their use and interpretation.
Multiple energy applications could benefit from a dense, multi-purpose fiber network:

- earthquake hazard analysis at refineries or nuclear power plants in earthquake prone regions
- highly repeatable time-lapse seismic imaging at CO2 sequestration sites
- induced seismicity location/detection
- seismic arrays could serve dual purpose for pipeline leak and tampering detection
- permanent installation in slim holes above reservoir but below near-surface complexities:
  - improve 4D seismic imaging
  - record microseismicity
Many environmental, safety, and basic science problems could similarly benefit:

- imaging for earthquake hazard analysis
- permafrost thaw monitoring
- high-resolution earthquake swarm monitoring
- volcano monitoring
- early earthquake warning with fibers alongside known active faults
- augmenting sparse networks in high priority regions for weapons test detection
Our technical goals:

Quick acquisition for active seismic surveys

Continuous recording of seismic events

Ambient noise interferometry and tomography
Active Seismic Survey: 
Parallel lines of DAS and 3C nodes

How similar are DAS data to inline node data when recording the same event?

April 1906 (Hamilton et al, 2006)
Possible surface expression of Stock Farm Monocline?

Co-organized by Chris Castillo and E. Martin under supervision of B. Biondi and S. Klemperer, and analysis by Stock Sawasdee (geophysics undergrad)
Materials and Methods

- **5 Hz 3C ZLand Nodes by Fairfield Nodal** (loaned by F.C. Lin of Univ. of Utah and UUSS)
- **GPS-connected trigger timing module**
- **Sledgehammer taped to trigger**
- **Betsy gun with trigger in handle**
DAS is much easier in urban environments once it is installed.

- Activating nodes
- Collecting GPS coordinates
- Fast data checks
- Instrument in secure server room
- Fiber installation
Some of the same events seen on DAS & nodes.


figure by Stock Sawasdee
Earthquake Detection: Local to Teleseismic Scales

Work by Siyuan Yuan, E. Martin, B. Biondi

Local events serve as a proxy for microseismicity monitoring.

Teleseismic events help us understand reliability of low frequency response.
We Can Detect Small, Nearby Events...

event start time UTC: 2017-07-13 04:02:49.08000
distance from array: 5.72 km
magnitude 0.81
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We Can Detect Small, Nearby Events...

event start time UTC: 2017-07-12 18:46:41.67000
distance from array: 5.45 km
magnitude 1.34
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event start time UTC: 2017-07-12 18:47:50.63000
distance from array: 5.34 km
magnitude 0.95

We Can Detect Small, Nearby Events...

figures c/o Siyuan Yuan

... And Large Teleseismic Events.

M 5.8
Pawnee, OK
UTC: 2016-09-03 12:02:44

Google maps

DAS log spectrum
DAS strain rate (r/w/b) with broadband JRSC overlaid (black)

JRSC log spectrum

Ambient Seismic Noise

analysis by E. Martin supervised by B. Biondi; noise removal by Fantine Huot, Yinbin Ma, Robert Cieplicki

Can we further cut the cost of near-surface imaging by using randomly occurring ambient seismic noise instead of paying a source crew?

Can we do this quickly with as little human intervention in pre-processing as possible?

eexample across Long Beach, CA (c/o Jason P. Chang, Stanford, data collected by NodalSeismic for Signal Hill Petroleum)
What does the noise look like?

0.5 - 2 Hz (low), 2 - 8 Hz (mid), 8 - 24 Hz (high)
We can extract strong signals for geotechnical characterization from noise along each line.

Cross-coherence yields signals similar to signal recorded by actively controlled seismic shot.

Dispersion analysis of cross-coherence says how much energy is traveling at each velocity for each frequency.
We get clear virtual shot records, even between different lines

One bit cross-correlation, virtual source 35

400 m/s
1200 m/s

One bit cross-correlation, virtual source 75

There are some signal-to-noise ratio drops in dry months...

Rain starts.

Lots of rain in these months, especially this year.
Despite SNR drop in dry months, we get a stable velocity profile year-round for geotechnical characterization.
We must automate the hunt for repeating noises for this method to scale.

Large scale, dense deployments record a wide variety of noises, but manual inspection is prohibitively expensive.

We use machine learning to automate our initial exploration of noise sources.

Filtering out the cars from ambient noise before interferometry improves convergence rates for Green’s function estimation.

The same tools could be extended to noise removal in seismicity recording.

Summary

This is a novel, multi-purpose seismic installation in a noisy environment.

The DAS array shows some of the same refraction and surface wave events as 3C nodes in records of betsy gun shots.

From small local events to large teleseismic events, we have recorded a wide range of earthquakes and quarry blasts.

To cut down on seismic survey costs, we can extract coherent signals and dispersion curves from ambient noise, but must be cautious about their use and interpretation.
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Questions?

near-surface imaging with ambient seismic noise

earthquake detection

active seismic source
Bonus slides
Map of small, nearby events

Stanford DAS array slightly closer to earthquake locations, but expected to be a path with lower velocities than path to JRSC.

July 2017 earthquakes: M 0.81, 0.95, 1.34

Figure from Google Maps + Wilbur3/IRIS
More on geology of Stanford area

Map legend

- FAULTS
  - Strike slip
  - Thrust
  - Decollement surface

- FOLDS
  - Syncline
  - Anticline
  - Monocline

Cross-section line

A -------------------- B

Generalized cross-section along line A-B

Page, Ingle, and Kovach, 1996

Figure 2. Areal geology in the vicinity of Stanford University. Location of profile A-B is shown in the text.
Ambient noise interferometry extracts signals mimicking controlled source surveys from noise.

for real time series, cross-correlation is a time-lagged dot product

$$C(\tau) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d(x_r, t)d(x_{vS}, t + \tau)dt$$

or a frequency-wise multiplication after Fourier transform

$$\hat{C}(\omega) = \hat{d}(x_r, \omega)\hat{d}^*)(x_{vS}, \omega)$$

![Diagram showing a peak in C distance/velocity](peak_in_C_diagram.png)